

**Order isomorphisms of countable dense real sets
which are universal entire functions**
(preliminary report)

Paul Gauthier (extinguished professor)

New Developments in
Complex Analysis and Function Theory
Heraklion, 2-6 July 2018

ORDER

An **order** is a way of giving meaning to an expression of the form

$$x < y.$$

Examples: On people,

Height, weight, age and income **are** orders.

Nationality, religion, color and gender **are not.** orders.

ORDER ISOMORPHISMS

Every well-ordered set is order-isomorphic to a unique ordinal. Note: \mathbb{Q} not well-ordered.

Definition. An ordered set is **dense**, if between every two elements, there is a third. Note: \mathbb{Q} is dense.

Cantor 1895

If A and B are countable dense ordered sets without first or last elements, then there is an **order isomorphism**

$$f : A \rightarrow B.$$

Corollary

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , then there is an **order homeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Corollary

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} ,
then there is an **order homeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Corollary (suggested by Solynin talk)

If A and B are countable dense subsets of the circle \mathbb{T} ,
then there is an **order homeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Corollary to Cantor 1895

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , then there is an **order homeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Stäckel 1895

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , then there is an **entire function f**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) \subset B.$$

Erdős 1957

A, B countable dense, \exists **entire function f** with $f(A) = B$?

Yes

$A, B \subset \mathbb{C}$, Maurer 1967. $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$, Barth-Schneider 1970.

Franklin 1925

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , then there is an **order bianalytic mapping**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Corollary to Cantor 1895

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , then there is an **order homeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Corollary (suggested by Solynin talk)

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{T} , then there is an **order homeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Franklin 1925

$A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$ countable dense. Then \exists **order bianalytic**

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Question (suggested by Solynin talk)

$A, B \subset \mathbb{T}$ countable dense. Is there is a **diffeomorphism**

$$f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \quad \text{with} \quad f(A) = B.$$

Franklin 1925 (again)

For A and B countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , there exists a **bianalytic** map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow f(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that:
 f restricts to a bijection of A onto B (hence, $f(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$).

Morayne 1987

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{C}^n (respectively \mathbb{R}^n), $n > 1$, there is a measure preserving biholomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^n (respectively bianalytic mapping of \mathbb{R}^n) which maps A to B .

Rosay-Rudin 1988

Same result for \mathbb{C}^n only.

Remarks

Franklin's proof invokes the statement that the uniform limit of analytic functions is analytic, which is **false** (in view of Weierstrass approximation theorem, for example).

For \mathbb{C}^1 , Morayne, Rosay-Rudin results are **false**.

For $n = 1$, Morayne conclusion \Rightarrow Franklin,
but Morayne proof **fails** for $n = 1$.

Theorem. For A and B countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , there exists an **entire** function f of **finite order** such that:
 $f(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$; $f'(x) > 0$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and
 $f|_A : A \rightarrow B$ is an **order isomorphism** .

Proof. $A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$; $B = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$.

$$f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(z + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j h_j(z) \right) = z + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j h_j(z),$$

$$h_1 = 1; \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(z) = e^{-z^2} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z - \alpha_k), \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

λ_j 's small and real $\Rightarrow f(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

λ_j 's small $\Rightarrow f$ **entire of finite order and $f'(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,**

$$h_n(z) = 0, \quad \text{iff } z = \alpha_k, \quad k = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Choose λ_n so $f_n(\alpha_n) = \beta_n$.

□

I OVERSIMPLIFIED

Choose enumerations $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$. The sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are rearrangements of $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ chosen recursively.

First, choose $\alpha_1, \lambda_1, \beta_1, \beta_2 \neq \beta_1$, so $f_1(\alpha_1) = \beta_1$.

Suppose we have respectively distinct

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}; \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n-1}; \quad \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2n}$$

$$\alpha_{2k-1} = (\text{first } a_i) \in A \setminus \{\alpha_j : j < 2k - 1\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\beta_{2k} = (\text{first } b_i) \in B \setminus \{\beta_j : j < 2k\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

$$f(\alpha_j) = \beta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n - 1$$

Choose

$$\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n},$$

$$\beta_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+1}, \lambda_{2n+1},$$

$$\beta_{2(n+1)}$$

with

$$f_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}) = \beta_{2n} \quad f_{2n+1}(\alpha_{2n+1}) = \beta_{2n+1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_1 & \lambda_1 & \beta_1 \\
- & - & \beta_2 \\
- & - & - \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\alpha_{2n-1} & \lambda_{2n-1} & \beta_{2n-1} \\
[\alpha_{2n} & \lambda_{2n}] & \beta_{2n} \\
\alpha_{2n+1} & [\lambda_{2n+1} & \beta_{2n+1}] \\
- & - & \beta_{2(n+1)}
\end{array}$$

How to find $[\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}]$ such that

$$\beta_{2n} = f_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}) = \alpha_{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \lambda_j h_j(\alpha_{2n}) + \lambda_{2n} h_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}) = f_{2n-1}(\alpha_{2n}) + \lambda_{2n} h_{2n}(\alpha_{2n}).$$

Put

$$g(x, y) = f_{2n-1}(x) + y h_{2n}(x).$$

Fix y_n small. Show $g(\cdot, y_n) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ surjective. So, $\exists \alpha$ with $g(\alpha, y_n) = \beta_{2n}$. Implicit function theorem implies, there is $(\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n})$ near (α, y_n) , with $g(\alpha_{2n}, \lambda_{2n}) = \beta_{2n}$ and $\alpha_{2n} \in A$.

Universal Functions

Birkhoff 1925 There exists an entire function f which is *universal*. That is, for each entire function g , there is a sequence a_n , such that $f(\cdot + a_n) \rightarrow g$.

Most entire functions are universal.

No example is known.

Voronin Universality Theorem 1975

Zero-free holomorphic functions in strip $1/2 < \Re z < 1$ can be approximated by translates of the Riemann zeta-function: $\zeta(z + it_n)$, $t_n \rightarrow \infty$.

If the zero-free hypothesis is superfluous, the Riemann Hypothesis fails.

Bagchi 1981 The following are equivalent:

- i) $\exists t_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\underline{d}\{t_n\} > 0$, $\zeta(\cdot + it_n) \rightarrow \zeta$ in strip;
- ii) the **Riemann Hypothesis** is true.

Approximation on Closed Sets

A *chaplet* is a locally finite sequence of disjoint closed discs $\overline{D}_1, \overline{D}_2, \dots$.

Theorem Given a chaplet $\{\overline{D}_n\}$, a sequence of positive numbers $\{\epsilon_n\}$ and a sequence of functions $f_n \in A(\overline{D}_n) = C(\overline{D}_n) \cap \text{Hol}(D_n)$, there exists an entire function g , such that, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$|g(z) - f_n(z)| < \epsilon_n, \quad \text{for all } z \in \overline{D}_n.$$

Application. The existence of a universal entire function (Birkhoff's Theorem).

Approximation by Functions of Finite Order

With the help of a (not *the*) theorem of Arakelian on approximation by entire functions of **finite order**, we can prove:

Theorem. For an arbitrary sequence $\epsilon_k > 0$, there exists a sequence $D_k = D(a_k, k)$ such that for every sequence $f_k \in \overline{D}_k$, with $|f_k \epsilon_k| < 1$, there exists an entire function f of **finite order**, such that

$$|f(z) - f_k(z)| < \epsilon_k, \quad \text{for all } z \in \overline{D}_k.$$

Corollary. For sequences $\mathbb{D}_n = (|z| < n)$, $\varphi_n \in A(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)$ and $\epsilon_n > 0$, there exists a subsequence a_{k_n} and an entire function f of **finite order**, such that, setting $f_n(z) = \varphi_n(z - a_{k_n})$,

$$|f(z + a_{k_n}) - \varphi_n(z)| < \epsilon_{k_n}, \quad \text{for all } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_n.$$

Application (Arakelian). There exist **universal entire functions of finite order.**

Given: countable dense real sets A and B ,

Theorem (again)

There exists an entire function f of **finite order** :

f is an order isomorphism of A onto B ; $f'(x) > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$;

Can impose **other conditions** on an order isomorphism f .

Given: increasing sequences a_n and b_n , without limit points,

Theorem (universal-interpolating)

There exists a **universal** entire function f :

f is an order isomorphism of A onto B ;

$f'(x) > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$; and $f(a_n) = b_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof of universal-interpolating theorem .

Lemma. Suppose $\{\overline{D}_n\}$ a chaplet disjoint from \mathbb{R} ; $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, strictly increasing sequences of real numbers tending to ∞ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon_n > 0$. Then, for every sequence $g_n \in A(\overline{D}_n)$, there exists an entire function Φ , such that $|\Phi - g_n| < \epsilon_n$ on \overline{D}_n ; Φ maps \mathbb{R} bijectively onto \mathbb{R} ; $\Phi' > 0$ on \mathbb{R} and $\Phi(a_n) = b_n$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

Lemma. Same hypotheses, there exists entire function H , such that $H_{D_n} \sim 0$, $H_R \sim 1$, $H'_R \sim 0$

Proof of theorem. Replace

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j e^{-z^2} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (z - \alpha_k)$$

by

$$f(z) = \Phi(z) + H(z) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j e^{-\Phi^2(z)} \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} (\Phi(z) - \Phi(\alpha_k)).$$

Franklin 1925 (again)

For A and B countable dense subsets of \mathbb{R} , there exists a **bianalytic** map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that:
 f restricts to an order isomorphism of A onto B .

Morayne 1987

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{C}^n (respectively \mathbb{R}^n), $n > 1$, there is a measure preserving biholomorphic mapping of \mathbb{C}^n (respectively bianalytic mapping of \mathbb{R}^n) which maps A to B .

Rosay-Rudin 1988

Same result for \mathbb{C}^n only.

Remarks

1. **($n=1$, real case)** Franklin's proof incorrect.
2. **($n=1$, real case)** The only **measure preserving** order isomorphisms of \mathbb{R} are translations $x \mapsto x + c$. The only possible image of a real set A is the real set $B = A + c$.

($n=1$, complex case) Barth/Schneider 1972

If A and B are countable dense subsets of \mathbb{C} , there exists an entire function f , such that $f(z) \in B$ if and only if $z \in A$.

Paucity

Let \mathcal{E} denote the space of entire functions and \mathcal{E}_R denote the "real" entire functions, that is, the entire functions which map reals to reals.

Remark. \mathcal{E}_R is a closed nowhere dense subset of \mathcal{E} .

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\rightarrow}$ be the space of functions in \mathcal{E}_R , whose restrictions to the reals are non-decreasing.

Remark. $\mathcal{E}_{\rightarrow}$ is a closed nowhere dense subset of \mathcal{E}_R .

EFHARISTO!